

STOCK MARKET
DULL AND WEAK.

HALF-HOLIDAY TIRED FEELING PREVAILED.

NEW YORK, N. Y., March 22.—At the opening, the market was fairly well supported and retained strength. The local fractions were neglected in the early trading.

There were no decided tendencies in the early trading. Prices at the opening were irregular with fractional gains in the important stocks.

A slight weakness developed after the first half hour of trading. The general tone, however, was firm.

St. Paul, New York Central and the Erie were fairly well supported and retained strength. The local fractions were neglected in the early trading.

Business was very light until just before the close, when the trading became more active. The Erie, however, was depressed.

The closing was active and in most cases at the lowest figures of the day. The total sales of stocks were 368,390 shares and of bonds 11,877,000.

The Closing Quotations.

Stock	Price	Stock	Price
Am. Copper	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Cotton	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Oil	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Sugar	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Tobacco	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Tea	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Wine	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Beer	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Spirits	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Lumber	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Iron	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Steel	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Coal	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Gas	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Water	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Electric	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Telephone	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Telegraph	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Cable	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Mail	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Express	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Freight	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Insurance	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Banking	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Finance	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Real Estate	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Hotels	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Restaurants	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Amusement	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Education	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Health	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Beauty	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Fashion	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Art	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Music	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Literature	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Science	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Religion	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Philosophy	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. History	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Geography	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Natural Science	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Social Science	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Political Science	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Law	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Medicine	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Agriculture	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Commerce	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Industry	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Labor	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Transportation	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Communication	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Defense	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Public Works	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Utilities	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Services	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2
Am. Miscellaneous	102 1/2	Gen. Elec.	124 1/2

LONDON MARKET DULL.

Americans Inactive, With Prices a Shade Below New York.

The London market today was inactive and without feature. The light attendance on the Exchange was due to the annual university boat race.

In the department for Americans there was very little trading. Prices were a shade below New York. The New York closing figures.

Money is easy, and loans on the Exchange for the week ending today show:

Loan	Rate
Overnight	1 1/2
Three months	2 1/2
Six months	3 1/2
One year	4 1/2

Bank surplus increased. The statement of the associated banks for the week ending today shows:

Bank	Surplus
Am. Bank	100,000,000
Bank of England	100,000,000
Bank of France	100,000,000
Bank of Germany	100,000,000

Schwab controls nickel. President Charles M. Schwab, of the Billion-Dollar Steel Trust, is reported to be the prime mover in a combine which for months has been working to control the world's supply of nickel.

The cotton market. The local cotton market moved steadily today with prices 1 to 3 points lower. Liverpool cables were off and port receipts bid fair to exceed last year's.

The wheat market. The wheat market today was decidedly weaker at the opening. There was a great deal of liquidation attending the week's closing.

The corn market. The corn market today was weaker at the opening. There was a great deal of liquidation attending the week's closing.

The sugar market. The sugar market today was weaker at the opening. There was a great deal of liquidation attending the week's closing.

The oil market. The oil market today was weaker at the opening. There was a great deal of liquidation attending the week's closing.

The rubber market. The rubber market today was weaker at the opening. There was a great deal of liquidation attending the week's closing.

The tin market. The tin market today was weaker at the opening. There was a great deal of liquidation attending the week's closing.

The lead market. The lead market today was weaker at the opening. There was a great deal of liquidation attending the week's closing.

The zinc market. The zinc market today was weaker at the opening. There was a great deal of liquidation attending the week's closing.

LOCAL NEWS

LEHIGH ROAD ALL RIGHT.

The Lehigh Valley Railroad announces that having recovered from the effect of the recent storm and washout, it will resume its regular schedule. Beginning Monday all passenger service will be resumed, including the Black Diamond.

PRESS THEATRE LAW.

A woman who lives in the West Pittsburgh Street Police Court visited the theatre last night with a companion, and was charged with violating the standing-room law to appear in the box.

HOSPITALS POOR PATRONS.

Wholesale grocers are complaining that their profits from the sale of drugs to the city for the hospitals are too small and are going to ask Mayor Low to pay more for supplies.

LOST HIS TROUSERS LEG.

Postman Jacob Hagen, who weighs 250 pounds, was sent down to Sulzer's Harbor River Park at One Hundred and Twenty-seventh street and First Avenue last night to look after a gang of hoodlums. Nigger the Newfoundland watchdog, who guards the park, spent the postman and grabbed him by the leg of his new trousers. Hagen went back to get more clothing.

ACCUSES GIRL OF THEFT.

Louis Kaplan, of No. 28 Broome street, accused Florence Stevens, twenty-seven years old, of No. 24 West Forty-ninth street, of stealing a diamond ring. He arrested the woman, who denied the theft.

POISON BOTTLE NEAR HER.

Mrs. Mary M. Veigh, twenty-four years old, of No. 49 West Forty-first street, was charged with attempting to poison her husband, John M. Veigh, with a bottle of poison. She was charged with attempting to poison her husband, John M. Veigh, with a bottle of poison.

127 FAILURES REPORTED.

Bradstreet's reports 127 failures in the United States during the week against 224 for the previous week and 231 for the corresponding week last year. About 90 per cent of the failures failed to pay.

REVOKES LIQUOR LICENSE.

Justice Freeman, of the Supreme Court, has revoked the liquor license of Joseph J. Korman, of No. 216 Lexington avenue. Korman had been charged with selling liquor to minors.

BULL TERRIER HELD ON.

A big St. Bernard dog belonging to Dr. Hugh Schoeller, of No. 422 Broadway, was held on by a woman who was charged with attempting to sell the dog to a child.

MOTORMAN COLLAPSED.

After running down and killing three-year-old Minnie Collins, a trolley motorman collapsed. He was charged with attempting to sell the motor to a child.

MILE CHASE FOR RUNAWAY.

Many Central Park questions were asked with interest in the mile long chase of Roundhead Wood, of the Mounted Squad, after a big bay hunter that had run away from its owner, Frederick E. Eimer & Smeathers, of the Consolidated Oil Company.

TO INCREASE STOCK.

The stockholders of the St. Louis Southwestern Railroad, of which Edwin C. Johnson is president, have called a meeting in St. Louis on May 21 to vote on the proposition to increase the company's capital stock by the issue of new shares.

NEW CANADIAN RAILROAD.

Plans have been practically completed for the construction of the Quebec and Lake Huron Railroad, which is designed to be an air line from Quebec to Georgian Bay, with a connection with the International at Quebec.

DINNER \$100 A PLATE.

Four new members of the Kettle Club contributed \$500 apiece to pay for a dinner for thirty-eight persons at Sherry's as their initiation fee into the organization, which is one of Philadelphia's most exclusive.

STUDENTS FOUGHT FIRE.

Four Columbia students, members of the university volunteer fire brigade, distinguished themselves by extinguishing a threatening blaze at No. 521 West One Hundred and Twenty-third street, which is one of the houses in the city.

NEW RULE FOR NURSES.

Hereafter the nurse-for-hire in the city hospitals will be three years instead of two, and the probationary period will cover three months instead of one. The new rule was approved by the Board of Health.

EX-SECRETARY GAGE HERE.

James J. Gage, ex-Secretary of the Treasury who is to succeed John S. Stewart as President of the United States Trust Company, has returned from his vacation trip to Florida and is now in New York.

SUGAR PRICES UP.

Speculation in sugar futures has caused a rise in the price of sugar. The price of sugar has risen from 10 cents to 11 cents a pound.

The price of sugar has risen from 10 cents to 11 cents a pound. The price of sugar has risen from 10 cents to 11 cents a pound.

At Home TOLD IN TABLOIDS

YOUNG JOHN FOX SECRETLY LEAVES HIS WIFE AND HOME.

Son of President of Democratic Club Promises to Return, but Fails to Do So.

He did not return, but I am sure that he will come back some time for I know he loves me, and would not have left me except for the influence of his father. We have never been separated, though we have pretended to be on account of the opposition of my father-in-law to me.

I consented to a temporary separation in order that my husband might not quarrel with his father, and I thought that some time the father would withdraw his objections to our living together. I have not said and have no intention of saying for a divorce, and if my husband would return to me I would withdraw my suits against his father and his mother's estate.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

At Home TOLD IN TABLOIDS

YOUNG JOHN FOX SECRETLY LEAVES HIS WIFE AND HOME.

Son of President of Democratic Club Promises to Return, but Fails to Do So.

He did not return, but I am sure that he will come back some time for I know he loves me, and would not have left me except for the influence of his father. We have never been separated, though we have pretended to be on account of the opposition of my father-in-law to me.

I consented to a temporary separation in order that my husband might not quarrel with his father, and I thought that some time the father would withdraw his objections to our living together. I have not said and have no intention of saying for a divorce, and if my husband would return to me I would withdraw my suits against his father and his mother's estate.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father and mother.

Mrs. Fox is suing her father-in-law for \$100,000 damages for the loss of her husband and her mother-in-law's estate for the same amount for alleged violation of a contract to care for her father